

### Miscellaneous Assignment Rubric

<b>Score</b>	<b>Criteria</b>
<b>9 - 10</b>	An astute evaluation of the event; this must include both a brief description of what happened and an analysis of the anthropological relevance of the event; the short write-up must demonstrate some genuine engagement with the event; the response must represent some critical anthropological thinking about the experience, perhaps relating it back to things that we have studied in class, relating it to theoretical arguments in the readings, or otherwise applying anthropological insights or critiques to the event. This must be concise, meaning it is probably best to stick to one main point or insight.
<b>7 - 8</b>	A good evaluation of the event, but slightly lacking in one of the criteria described above; for example, the write-up may make inadequate links between the event and anthropological principles; a 7-8 write up represents a good attempt to demonstrate anthropological critique of the experience, but does not excel in doing so.
<b>5 - 6</b>	An okay summary of the event with an acknowledgement that it is something anthropological, but lacking in a substantive critique; or, perhaps the critique misconstrues anthropological arguments or principles laid out in the course materials or lectures.
<b>3 - 4</b>	Doesn't really give much of a summary, or analyze it fully, but it sounds like they did go to a potentially relevant event and wrote some response based on that.
<b>1 - 2</b>	Doesn't relate the experience to anthropological principles at all; the write-up takes effectively nothing in the course into account in trying to reflect anthropologically on the event.
<b>0</b>	Didn't turn in a review of the event, or didn't go to a relevant event.